

Marks	Level descriptor
2	The response demonstrates some appropriate analysis of textual features and/or authorial choices, but is reliant on description.
3	The response demonstrates a generally appropriate analysis of textual features and/or authorial choices.
4	The response demonstrates an appropriate and at times insightful analysis of textual features and/or authorial choices. There is a good evaluation of how such features and/or choices shape meaning.
5	The response demonstrates an insightful and convincing analysis of textual features and/or authorial choices. There is a very good evaluation of how such features and/or choices shape meaning.

### Criterion C: Focus and organization

- How well organized, coherent and focused is the presentation of ideas?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Little organization is apparent in the presentation of ideas. No discernible focus is apparent in the analysis.
2	Some organization is apparent in the presentation of ideas. There is little focus in the analysis.
3	The presentation of ideas is adequately organized in a generally coherent manner. There is some focus in the analysis.
4	The presentation of ideas is well organized and mostly coherent. The analysis is adequately focused.
5	The presentation of ideas is effectively organized and coherent. The analysis is well focused.

### Criterion D: Language

- How clear, varied and accurate is the language?
- How appropriate is the choice of register and style? ("Register" refers, in this context, to the candidate's use of elements such as vocabulary, tone, sentence structure and terminology appropriate to the analysis).

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Language is rarely clear and appropriate; there are many errors in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction and little sense of register and style.
2	Language is sometimes clear and carefully chosen; grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction are fairly accurate, although errors and inconsistencies are apparent; the register and style are to some extent appropriate to the task.
3	Language is clear and carefully chosen with an adequate degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction despite some lapses; register and style are mostly appropriate to the task.

Marks	Level descriptor
4	Language is clear and carefully chosen, with a good degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction; register and style are consistently appropriate to the task.
5	Language is very clear, effective, carefully chosen and precise, with a high degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction; register and style are effective and appropriate to the task.

## Paper 2: Comparative essay

There are four assessment criteria at HL.

Criterion A	Knowledge, understanding and interpretation	10 marks
Criterion B	Analysis and evaluation	10 marks
Criterion C	Focus and organisation	5 marks
Criterion D	Language	5 marks
<b>Total</b>		<b>30 marks</b>

### Criterion A: Knowledge, understanding and interpretation

- How much knowledge and understanding of the works does the candidate show?
- To what extent does the candidate make use of knowledge and understanding of the works to draw conclusions about their similarities and differences in relation to the question?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	There is little knowledge and understanding of the works in relation to the question answered. There is little meaningful comparison and contrast of the works used in relation to the question.
3–4	There is some knowledge and understanding of the works in relation to the question answered. There is a superficial attempt to compare and contrast the works used in relation to the question.
5–6	There is satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the works and an interpretation of their implications in relation to the question answered. The essay offers a satisfactory interpretation of the similarities and differences between the works used in relation to the question.
7–8	There is good knowledge and understanding of the works and a sustained interpretation of their implications in relation to the question answered. The essay offers a convincing interpretation of the similarities and differences between the works used in relation to the question.
9–10	There is perceptive knowledge and understanding of the works and a persuasive interpretation of their implications in relation to the question answered. The essay offers an insightful interpretation of the similarities and differences between the works used in relation to the question.

**Criterion B: Analysis and evaluation**

- To what extent does the candidate analyse and evaluate how the choices of language, technique and style, and/or broader authorial choices, shape meaning?
- How effectively does the candidate use analysis and evaluation skills to compare and contrast both works?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1–2	The essay is descriptive and/or demonstrates little relevant analysis of textual features and/or the broader authorial choices.
3–4	The essay demonstrates some appropriate analysis of textual features and/or broader authorial choices, but is reliant on description. There is a superficial comparison and contrast of the authors' choices in the works selected.
5–6	The essay demonstrates a generally appropriate analysis of textual features and/or broader authorial choices. There is an adequate comparison and contrast of the authors' choices in the works selected.
7–8	The essay demonstrates an appropriate and at times insightful analysis of textual features and/or broader authorial choices. There is a good evaluation of how such features and/or choices shape meaning. There is a good comparison and contrast of the authors' choices in the works selected.
9–10	The essay demonstrates a consistently insightful and convincing analysis of textual features and/or broader authorial choices. There is a very good evaluation of how such features and/or choices contribute to meaning. There is a very good comparison and contrast of the authors' choices in the works selected.

**Criterion C: Focus and organization**

- How well structured, balanced and focused is the presentation of ideas?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	The essay rarely focuses on the task. There are few connections between ideas.
2	The essay only sometimes focuses on the task, and treatment of the works may be unbalanced. There are some connections between ideas, but these are not always coherent.
3	The essay maintains a focus on the task, despite some lapses; treatment of the works is mostly balanced. The development of ideas is mostly logical; ideas are generally connected in a cohesive manner.
4	The essay maintains a mostly clear and sustained focus on the task; treatment of the works is balanced. The development of ideas is logical; ideas are cohesively connected.

Marks	Level descriptor
5	The essay maintains a clear and sustained focus on the task; treatment of the works is well-balanced. The development of ideas is logical and convincing; ideas are connected in a cogent manner.

### Criterion D: Language

- How clear, varied and accurate is the language?
- How appropriate is the choice of register and style? ("Register" refers, in this context, to the candidate's use of elements such as vocabulary, tone, sentence structure and terminology appropriate to the essay).

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Language is rarely clear and appropriate; there are many errors in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction and little sense of register and style.
2	Language is sometimes clear and carefully chosen; grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction are fairly accurate, although errors and inconsistencies are apparent; the register and style are to some extent appropriate to the task.
3	Language is clear and carefully chosen with an adequate degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction despite some lapses; register and style are mostly appropriate to the task.
4	Language is clear and carefully chosen, with a good degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction; register and style are consistently appropriate to the task.
5	Language is very clear, effective, carefully chosen and precise, with a high degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction; register and style are effective and appropriate to the task.

## Higher level essay

There are four assessment criteria at HL.

Criterion A	Knowledge, understanding and interpretation	5 marks
Criterion B	Analysis and evaluation	5 marks
Criterion C	Focus, organisation and development	5 marks
Criterion D	Language	5 marks
<b>Total</b>		<b>20 marks</b>

### Criterion A: Knowledge, understanding and interpretation

- How well does the candidate demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the work or text chosen?
- To what extent does the candidate make use of knowledge and understanding of the work or text to draw conclusions in relation to the chosen topic?
- How well are ideas supported by references to the work or text in relation to the chosen topic?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	There is little knowledge and understanding of the work or text shown through the essay in relation to the topic chosen. References to the work or text are infrequent or are rarely appropriate in relation to the chosen topic.
2	There is some knowledge and understanding of the work or text shown through the essay in relation to the topic chosen. References to the work or text are at times appropriate in relation to the chosen topic.
3	There is satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the work or text shown through the essay and an interpretation of its implications in relation to the topic chosen. References to the work or text are generally relevant and mostly support the candidate's ideas in relation to the chosen topic.
4	There is good knowledge and understanding of the work or text shown through the essay and a sustained interpretation of its implications in relation to the topic chosen. References to the work or text are relevant and support the candidate's ideas in relation to the chosen topic.
5	There is excellent knowledge and understanding of the work or text shown through the essay and a persuasive interpretation of their implications in relation to the chosen topic. References to the work or text are well-chosen and effectively support the candidate's ideas in relation to the chosen topic.

### Criterion B: Analysis and evaluation

- To what extent does the candidate analyse and evaluate how the choices of language, technique and style, and/or broader authorial choices shape meaning in relation to the chosen topic?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	The essay is descriptive and/or demonstrates little relevant analysis of textual features and/or the author's broader choices in relation to the chosen topic.
2	The essay demonstrates some appropriate analysis of textual features and the author's broader choices in relation to the chosen topic, but is reliant on description.
3	The essay demonstrates a generally appropriate analysis and evaluation of textual features and the author's broader choices in relation to the chosen topic.
4	The essay demonstrates an appropriate and at times insightful analysis and evaluation of textual features and the author's broader choices in relation to the chosen topic.
5	The essay demonstrates a consistently insightful and convincing analysis and evaluation of textual features and the author's broader choices in relation to the chosen topic.

### Criterion C: Focus, organization and development

- How well organized, focused and developed is the presentation of ideas in the essay?
- How well are examples integrated into the essay?

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.

Marks	Level descriptor
1	Little organization is present. No discernible line of inquiry is apparent in the essay. Supporting examples are not integrated into the structure of the sentences and paragraphs.
2	Some organization is apparent. There is little development of a line of inquiry. Supporting examples are rarely integrated into the structure of the sentences and paragraphs.
3	The essay is adequately organized in a generally cohesive manner. There is some development of the line of inquiry. Supporting examples are sometimes integrated into the structure of the sentences and paragraphs.
4	The essay is well organized and mostly cohesive. The line of inquiry is adequately developed. Supporting examples are mostly well integrated into the structure of the sentences and paragraphs.
5	The essay is effectively organized and cohesive. The line of inquiry is well developed. Supporting examples are well integrated into the structure of the sentences and paragraphs.

### Criterion D: Language

- How clear, varied and accurate is the language?
- How appropriate is the choice of register and style? ("Register" refers, in this context, to the candidate's use of elements such as vocabulary, tone, sentence structure and terminology appropriate to the HL essay).

Marks	Level descriptor
0	The work does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.
1	Language is rarely clear and appropriate; there are many errors in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction and little sense of register and style.
2	Language is sometimes clear and carefully chosen; grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction are fairly accurate, although errors and inconsistencies are apparent; the register and style are to some extent appropriate to the task.
3	Language is clear and carefully chosen with an adequate degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction despite some lapses; register and style are mostly appropriate to the task.
4	Language is clear and carefully chosen, with a good degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction; register and style are consistently appropriate to the task.
5	Language is very clear, effective, carefully chosen and precise, with a high degree of accuracy in grammar, vocabulary and sentence construction; register and style are effective and appropriate to the task.

## Internal assessment

### Purpose of internal assessment

Internal assessment is an integral part of the course and is compulsory for both standard level (SL) and higher level (HL) students. It enables students to demonstrate the application of their skills and knowledge in a different setting and to pursue their personal interests without the constraints that are associated with written examinations.

The internal assessment consists of a task in the form of an individual oral. Both SL and HL students are required to deliver an individual oral in response to a prompt, using an extract from one work and an extract from one non-literary text to focus their analysis of how perspectives on a global issue are presented in them.

The internal assessment should, as far as possible, be woven into normal classroom teaching and, to this end, will depend upon the students reflecting their study, analysis and exploration of how global issues are presented in their learner portfolios.

### Guidance and authenticity

The individual oral submitted for internal assessment must be the student's own work. However, it is not the intention that students should decide upon a topic and be left to work on the internal assessment component without any further support from the teacher. The teacher should play an important role during both the planning stage and the period when the student is working on the internally assessed work. It is the responsibility of the teacher to ensure that students are familiar with:

- the requirements of the individual oral
- the standards for academic honesty
- the assessment criteria.

Teachers and students must discuss the internally assessed work. Students should be encouraged to initiate discussions with the teacher to obtain advice and information, and students must not be penalized for seeking guidance. As part of the learning process, teachers should give advice to students on how to best prepare for the individual oral. This should include guidance on the choice of two texts the student will focus on to address the global issue of their choice, and on the suitability of the chosen global issue to the two texts. It could also include practice of the individual oral with texts and global issues different from those the students will use for their internal assessment. The teacher should provide feedback on areas of the student's work that could be improved so as to better fulfil the assessment criteria on the basis of such practices. As the process of preparation for the oral is drawing to a close, teachers may provide feedback on the outlines the students have created but may not rehearse the actual oral with the students.

It is the responsibility of teachers to ensure that all students understand the basic meaning and significance of concepts that relate to academic honesty, especially authenticity and intellectual property. Teachers must ensure that all student work for assessment is prepared according to the requirements and must explain clearly to students that the internally assessed work must be entirely their own.

All work submitted to the IB for moderation or assessment must be authenticated by a teacher, and must not include any known instances of suspected or confirmed academic misconduct. Each student must confirm that the work is his or her authentic work. Once the oral has been completed at the time designated by the school, it cannot be redone, and once the oral has been submitted, it cannot be retracted. The requirement to confirm the authenticity of work applies to the work of all students, not just the sample work that will be submitted to the IB for the purpose of moderation. For further details, refer to

the IB publications *Academic honesty in the IB educational context*, *The Diploma Programme: From principles into practice* and the relevant articles in *General regulations: Diploma Programme*.

Authenticity may be checked by discussion with the student on the content of the work, and scrutiny of one or more of the following:

- the student's initial proposal
- the usual quality of the student's work.

The same material cannot be submitted to meet the requirements of both the internal assessment and the extended essay. The texts used in the internal assessment must be different from those used in other assessment components

## Time allocation

Internal assessment contributes 30% to the final assessment of the SL course and 20% to the final assessment of the HL course. This weighting should be reflected in the time that is allocated to teaching the knowledge, skills and understanding required to undertake the assessment, as well as the time needed to conduct the oral.

Conducting the individual oral will require time:

- for the teacher to explain the requirements of the internal assessment
- to review the academic honesty documents
- for students to work on the internal assessment component and ask questions
- for consultation between the teacher and each student
- to review and monitor progress, and to check authenticity
- to conduct the orals and submit all documentation.

## Requirements and recommendations

The individual oral must be conducted in the language A studied. The procedures and characteristics of the individual oral can, and should, be practised during the course, as should the development of verbal interaction between student and teacher. Students should be discouraged, however, from committing their individual orals to memory. Memorization tends to distance the speaker from the listener, thus making it unlikely that the oral will be effective or convincing.

Each student's individual oral must be audio recorded and the files must be of high quality. Each recording is to be retained according to the procedures set out in *Diploma Programme Assessment procedures*. Samples of the internal assessment selected for moderation must be submitted in the form of an audio file.

## Using assessment criteria for internal assessment

For internal assessment, a number of assessment criteria have been identified. Each assessment criterion has level descriptors describing specific achievement levels, together with an appropriate range of marks. The level descriptors concentrate on positive achievement, although for the lower levels failure to reach certain minimum requirements may be included in the description.

Teachers must judge the internally assessed work at SL and at HL against the criteria using the level descriptors.

- The same assessment criteria are provided for SL and HL.
- The aim is to find, for each criterion, the descriptor that conveys most accurately the level attained by the student, using the best-fit model. A best-fit approach means that compensation should be made when a piece of work matches different aspects of a criterion at different levels. The mark awarded should be one that most fairly reflects the balance of achievement against the criterion. It is not necessary for every single aspect of a level descriptor to be met for that mark to be awarded.